

Social Psychology of the Paranormal

Welcome!

OLLI Spring 2026
Barry Markovsky

Most institutions demand unqualified faith, but the institution of science makes skepticism a virtue.

Robert K. Merton (1910-2003)

Starting Assumptions

- Most people believe some paranormal claims.
- Most people don't challenge their paranormal beliefs.
- Beliefs are reinforced by physiological, psychological, and social processes.

Starting Assumptions

- Critically evaluating claims requires an understanding of research methods and, often, statistics.
- Substantive knowledge in other relevant fields, *including conjuring*, often helps.
- Critical thinking about paranormal claims fosters generalizable skills.
- It's better to be uncertain than to be wrong.

Hard Pills to Swallow

- Gut feelings cannot make facts out of beliefs.
- Science isn't closed-minded. (Some scientists are.)
- Science is *inherently* self-correcting. (Most people aren't.)

Hard Pills to Swallow

- Beliefs are profoundly impacted by our
 - minds
 - bodies
 - self-identities
 - group-identities
 - other beliefs
- We're unaware of severe limitations in our ability to
 - perceive the physical world
 - make judgments in novel or complex settings
 - encode, store, and decode memories

The good thing about science is that it's true whether or not you believe in it.

Science is a way of trying not to fool yourself. The first principle is that you must not fool yourself, and you are the easiest person to fool.

-- Neil deGrasse Tyson

What's so wrong about being wrong?

- Bad information is rarely good for anything
- False beliefs increase vulnerability to harms
 - loss of health, finances, autonomy, life
 - exploitation by those seeking money, influence, power
- False beliefs increase chances of perpetrating harm
 - Arguably, it's bad to spread questionable beliefs just because they're "sincerely held"

Theory, Evidence, & Skepticism in Science

- **“Theory”**
 - Abstract, general, logical, precise, testable
 - Example: $F = mA$
- **“Evidence”**
 - Observations/measurements used to test a claim
 - Empirical, objective, reliable
- **“Scientific skepticism”**
 - Questioning claims using theory & evidence
 - Treating claims as provisional (at best) until well-supported

Syllabus Highlights



- Two-pronged approach
 - Consider a claim's soundness (theory + evidence)
 - Reasons we believe
- Open-minded scientific skepticism considers
 - quality of evidence
 - quality of explanation
 - alternative explanations

Course Approach

- We examine
 - the specific claim being made
 - rigor of explanations offered
 - quality of evidence being offered
- We further
 - seek normal explanations for extraordinary claims
 - apply multidisciplinary knowledge
 - are willing to remain uncertain
- As **social** scientists, we consider
 - social origins of beliefs
 - social influences
 - social consequences

Psychic or Mentalist?



- What's the difference?
- Lior Suchard, Amazing Kreskin
- Matt Fraser, The Psychic Twins, Uri Geller

Psychic at work: What to ask

- What claims are made by the mentalist/psychic?
- What special abilities does he claim to have?
- Does he offer any explanations for his abilities?
- If so, are they clear and plausible?
- Can you think of alternative explanations?
- Why does he say why he does these demonstrations?
- Can you think of other reasons?
- Do you believe that he has psychic powers? Why or why not?

Video: Lior Suchard, Mentalist





LIVE
KELLY
AND
RYAN

0:00 / 7:22

HD

VIDEOS

Kathryn Shultz: [TED talk, "Being Wrong"](#)

[Lior Suchard: Mentalist](#)

end